arXiv:1107.4761v3 [math.DG] 7 Mar 2012

TECHNIQUES OF COMPUTATIONS OF DOLBEAULT COHOMOLOGY OF SOLVMANIFOLDS

HISASHI KASUYA

Abstract. We consider semi-direct products $\mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N$ of Lie groups with lattices Γ such that N are nilpotent Lie groups with left-invariant complex structures. We compute the Dolbeault cohomology of direct sums of holomorphic line bundles over G/Γ by using the Dolbeaut cohomology of the Lie algebras of the direct product $\mathbb{C}^n \times N$. As a corollary of this computation, we can compute the Dolbeault cohomology $H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)$ of G/Γ by using a finite dimensional cochain complexes. Computing some examples, we observe that the Dolbeault cohomology varies for choices of lattices Γ.

1. Introduction

Let G be a simply connected solvable Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. We assume that G admits a lattice Γ and a left-invariant complex structure J. We consider the Dolbeault cohomology $H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma)$ of the complex solvmanifold G/Γ. We also consider the cohomology $H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the differential bigraded algebra (shortly DBA) $\bigwedge^{*,*}\mathfrak{g}^*$ of the complex valued left-invariant differential forms with the operator $\bar\partial$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that one can compute the Dolbeault cohomology of certain class of solvmanifolds G/Γ by using finite dimensional DBAs. In this paper we consider a Lie group G as in the following assumption.

Assumption 1.1. *G is the semi-direct product $\mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N$ so that:*
(1) N is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group with a left-invariant complex structure J. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ be the Lie algebras of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and N respectively.
(2) For any $t \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\phi(t)$ is a holomorphic automorphism of (N, J).
(3) ϕ induces a semi-simple action on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N.
(4) G has a lattice Γ. (Then Γ can be written by $\Gamma = \Gamma' \ltimes_\phi \Gamma''$ such that Γ' and Γ'' are lattices of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and N respectively and for any $t \in \Gamma'$ the action $\phi(t)$ preserves Γ''.)
(5) The inclusion $\bigwedge^{*,*}\mathfrak{n}^* \subset A^{*,*}(N/\Gamma'')$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{n}) \cong H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(N/\Gamma'').$$

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character (i.e. a representation on 1-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$) of $\mathbb{C}^n$. By the projection $\mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N \to \mathbb{C}^n$, we regard α as a character of G as in Assumption 1.1. We consider the holomorphic line bundle $L_\alpha = (G \times \mathbb{C}_\alpha)/\Gamma$ and the Dolbeault complex $(A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\alpha), \bar\partial)$ with values in the line bundle L_α. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles over G/Γ given by characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We consider the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$$

of Dolbeault complexes. Then by the wedge products and the tensor products, the direct sum $\bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$ is a DBA.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a subDBA $A^{*,*}$ of*

$$\bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E25, 53C30,53C55.

Key words and phrases. Dolbeault cohomology, solvmanifold, invariant complex structure, holomorphic line bundle.

such that we have a DBA isomorphism $\iota : \bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^* \cong A^{*,*}$ *and the inclusion*

$$\Phi : A^{*,*} \to \bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$$

induces a cohomology isomorphism.

See Section 3 for the construction of $A^{*,*}$.

Corollary 1.3. *We have the finite dimensional subDBA* $B^{*,*} = \Phi^{-1}(A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma))$ *of* $A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ *such that the inclusion* $\Phi : B^{*,*} \to A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ *induces a cohomology isomorphism*

$$H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(B^{*,*}) \cong H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma).$$

See Corollary 4.2 for the construction of $B^{*,*}$.

Remark 1. Let N be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group with a lattice Γ'' and a left-invariant complex structure J. Like Nomizu's theorem ([8]) for the de Rham cohomology of nilmanifolds, it is known that an isomorphism $H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(N/\Gamma'') \cong H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{n})$ holds if (N, J, Γ'') meet one of the following conditions:

(N) The complex manifold N/Γ'' has the structure of an iterated principal holomorphic torus bundle ([3]).

(Q) J is a small deformation of a rational complex structure i.e. for the rational structure $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ induced by a lattice Γ'' (see [11, Section 2]) we have $J(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ([2]).

(C) (N, J) is a complex Lie group ([13]).

By using Corollary 1.3, we actually compute the Dolbeault cohomology of some examples in Section 5. Unlike nilmanifolds, we observe that in many cases the Dolbeault cohomology of solvmanifolds can not be completely computed by using only Lie algebras. Moreover we give examples of non-Kähler complex solvmanifolds with the Hodge symmetry.

Remark 2. If N has a nilpotent complex structure (see [3]), then $(\bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*, \bar\partial)$ is the minimal model of the DBA $\bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$ (see [9]).

2. HOLOMORPHIC LINE BUNDLES OVER COMPLEX TORI

Lemma 2.1. *Let* Γ *be a finitely generated free abelian group and* $\alpha : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^*$ *a character of* Γ. *If the character* α *is non-trivial, then we have* $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) = 0$.

Proof. First we assume $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$H^0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) = \{m \in \mathbb{C}_\alpha | \alpha(g)m = m, \text{ for all } g \in \mathbb{Z}\} = 0.$$

Like the de Rham cohomology of S^1, by the Poincaré duality we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \cong H^0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}})^* = 0,$$

and obviously $H^p(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) = 0$ for $p \geq 2$. Hence the lemma holds in this case. In general case, we consider a decomposition $\Gamma = A \oplus B$ such that A is a rank 1 subgroup and the restriction of α on A is also non-trivial. Then we have the Hochshild-Serre spectral sequence E_r such that

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\Gamma/A, H^q(A, \mathbb{C}_\alpha))$$

and this converges to $H^{p+q}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$. Since $H^q(A, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) = 0$ for any q, we have $E_2 = 0$ and hence the lemma follows. □

We consider a complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with a lattice Γ. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a C^∞-character of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We have the holomorphic line bundle $L_\alpha = (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}_\alpha)/\Gamma$ over the complex torus $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$. We define the equivalence relation on the space of C^∞-characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\alpha \sim \beta$ if $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ is holomorphic.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^*$ *be a* C^∞*-character of* $\mathbb{C}^n$. *There exists a unique unitary character* β *such that* $\alpha \sim \beta$.

Proof. For a coordinate $(x_1+\sqrt{-1}y_1,\dots,x_n+\sqrt{-1}y_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$, a character α is written as

$$\alpha(x_1+\sqrt{-1}y_1,\dots,x_n+\sqrt{-1}y_n)=\exp(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_ix_i+b_iy_i+\sqrt{-1}(c_ix_i+d_iy_i)))$$

for some $a_i,b_i,c_i,d_i\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Let α' be the holomorphic character defined by

$$\alpha'(x_1+\sqrt{-1}y_1,\dots,x_n+\sqrt{-1}y_n)=\exp(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-a_i(x_i+\sqrt{-1}y_i)+\sqrt{-1}b_i(x_i+\sqrt{-1}y_i)).$$

Then the character $\beta=\alpha\alpha'$ is unitary. If a unitary character is holomorphic, then it is trivial. Hence such β is unique. □

Lemma 2.3. ([10]) *Let $\beta:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^*$ be a unitary C^∞-character of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the holomorphic line bundle L_β is trivial if and only if the restriction of β on Γ is trivial.*

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\alpha:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^*$ be a C^∞-character of $\mathbb{C}^n$. If L_α is a non-trivial holomorphic line bundle, then the Dolbeault cohomology $H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma,L_\alpha)$ with values in the line bundle L_α is trivial.*

Proof. Let β be the unitary character such that $\alpha\sim\beta$ as in Lemma 2.2. Then we have $L_\alpha\cong L_\beta$. Let D be the flat connection on L_β induced by β. We have the decomposition $D=\partial+\bar\partial$ so that $\bar\partial$ is the Dolbeault operator on L_β. Since β is unitary, we have a Hermitian metric on L_β such that for a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ we have the standard identity of the Laplacians of D and $\bar\partial$ (see [4, Section 7]). Hence we have an isomorphism $H^*_{\bar\partial}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma,L_\beta)\cong H^*_D(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma,L_\beta)$. If L_β is non-trivial, then we have $H^*_D(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma,L_\beta)=H^*(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}_\beta)=0$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence the proposition follows. □

3. THE CONSTRUCTION OF $A^{*,*}$

Let G be a Lie group as in Assumption 1.1. Consider the decomposition $\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{C}=\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}\oplus\mathfrak{n}^{0,1}$. By the condition (2), this decomposition is a direct sum of $\mathbb{C}^n$-modules. By the condition (3) we have a basis $Y_1,\dots,Y_m$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ such that the action ϕ on $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ is represented by $\phi(t)=\mathrm{diag}(\alpha_1(t),\dots,\alpha_m(t))$. Since Y_j is a left-invariant vector field on N, the vector field α_jY_j on $\mathbb{C}^n\ltimes_\phi N$ is left-invariant. Hence we have a basis $X_1,\dots,X_n,\alpha_1Y_1,\dots,\alpha_mY_m$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$. Let $x_1,\dots,x_n,\alpha_1^{-1}y_1,\dots,\alpha_m^{-1}y_m$ be the basis of $\bigwedge^{1,0}\mathfrak{g}^*$ which is dual to $X_1,\dots,X_n,\alpha_1Y_1,\dots,\alpha_mY_m$. Then we have

$$\bigwedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^*=\bigwedge^{p}\langle x_1,\dots,x_n,\alpha_1^{-1}y_1,\dots,\alpha_m^{-1}y_m\rangle\otimes\bigwedge^{q}\langle\bar x_1,\dots,\bar x_n,\bar\alpha_1^{-1}\bar y_1,\dots,\bar\alpha_m^{-1}\bar y_m\rangle.$$

Let $\alpha:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^*$ be a character of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $(A^{*,*}(G)\otimes\mathbb{C}_\alpha)^\Gamma$ be the space of $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$-valued Γ-invariant differential forms on G. Then we can identify the Dolbeault complex $A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\alpha)$ with $(A^{*,*}(G)\otimes\mathbb{C}_\alpha)^\Gamma$. Hence for $\omega\in\bigwedge^{*,*}\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $v_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_\alpha$, we have

$$\omega\otimes(\alpha^{-1}v_\alpha)\in A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\alpha).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set as in Introduction. By Section 2, we can regard $\mathcal{L}$ as the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles over G/Γ given by unitary characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We consider the DBA $\bigoplus_{L_\alpha\in\mathcal{L}}A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\alpha)$. We define the DBA $A^{*,*}$ to prove Theorem 1.2.

Definition 3.1. Let $x_1,\dots,x_n,\alpha_1^{-1}y_1,\dots,\alpha_m^{-1}y_m$ be the basis of $\bigwedge^{1,0}\mathfrak{g}^*$ as above. By Lemma 2.2, we have the unitary character β_j such that $\alpha_j\sim\beta_j$. We consider the holomorphic line bundles $L_{\beta_j^{-1}}$ over G/Γ. By $A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_{\beta_j^{-1}})=(A^{*,*}(G)\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\beta_j^{-1}})^\Gamma$, for $\mathbb{C}_{\beta_j^{-1}}\ni v_{\beta_j^{-1}}\neq0$ we consider

$$\alpha_j^{-1}y_j\otimes(\beta_jv_{\beta_j^{-1}})\in A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_{\beta_j^{-1}}).$$

Let $A^{*,*}$ be the subDBA of $\bigoplus_{L_\alpha\in\mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\alpha)$ defined by

$$A^{p,q}=\bigwedge^{p}\langle x_1,\dots,x_n,\alpha_1^{-1}y_1\otimes(\beta_1 v_{\beta_1^{-1}}),\dots,\alpha_m^{-1}y_m\otimes(\beta_m v_{\beta_m^{-1}})\rangle$$
$$\bigotimes\bigwedge^{q}\langle \bar{x}_1,\dots,\bar{x}_n,\bar{\alpha}_1^{-1}\bar{y}_1\otimes(\gamma_1 v_{\gamma_1^{-1}}),\dots,\bar{\alpha}_m^{-1}\bar{y}_m\otimes(\gamma_m v_{\gamma_m^{-1}})\rangle.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\iota:\bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*\to A^{*,*}$ *be the algebra homomorphism defined by*

$$\iota(x_i)=x_i,$$
$$\iota(\alpha_j^{-1}y_j)=\alpha_j^{-1}y_j\otimes\beta_j v_{\beta_j^{-1}}.$$

Then we have a DBA isomorphism

$$\iota:(\bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*,\bar\partial)\cong(A^{*,*},\bar\partial).$$

Proof. Since $\alpha_j^{-1}\beta_j$ is holomorphic, we have

$$\bar\partial(\alpha_j^{-1}y_j\otimes\beta_j v_{\beta_j^{-1}})=\alpha_j^{-1}(\bar\partial y_j)\otimes\beta_j v_{\beta_j^{-1}}.$$

This implies $\bar\partial\circ\iota=\iota\circ\bar\partial$. Hence the lemma follows. □

Let g be the left-invariant Hermitian metric on G defined by

$$g=x_1\bar{x}_1+\cdots+x_n\bar{x}_n+\alpha_1^{-1}\bar{\alpha}_1^{-1}y_1\bar{y}_1+\cdots++\alpha_m^{-1}\bar{\alpha}_m^{-1}y_m\bar{y}_m.$$

Let $\beta:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^*$ be a unitary C^∞-character of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Take $\mathbb{C}_\beta\ni v_\beta\neq 0$. Then $\beta^{-1}v_\beta$ is a C^∞-frame of the line bundle $L_\beta=(G\times\mathbb{C}_\beta)/\Gamma$. We define the Hermitian metric h_β on L_β such that $h_\beta(\beta^{-1}v_\beta,\beta^{-1}v_\beta)=1$. Let $\bar{*}_{g\otimes h_\beta}:A^{p,q}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta)\to A^{n+m-p,n+m-q}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta^*)$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear Hodge star operator of $g\otimes h_\beta$ on $A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta)$ and let

$$\bar\delta=\bar{*}_{g\otimes h_\beta}\circ\bar\partial\circ\bar{*}_{g\otimes h_\beta},\quad \Box_{g\otimes h_\beta}=\bar\partial\bar\delta+\bar\delta\bar\partial$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta)=\{\omega\in A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta)\,|\,\Box_{g\otimes h_\beta}\omega=0\}.$$

We consider the $\bar\partial$-Laplace operator $\oplus\Box_{g\otimes h_\beta}$ on the direct sum $\bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}}A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta)$.

We consider the basis $x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m$ of $\bigwedge^{1,0}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*$. Let g' be the Hermitian metric on $\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n}$ defined by

$$g'=x_1\bar{x}_1+\cdots+x_n\bar{x}_n+y_1\bar{y}_1+\cdots+y_m\bar{y}_m.$$

Let $\bar{*}_{g'}:\bigwedge^{p,q}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*\to\bigwedge^{n+m-p,n+m-q}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear Hodge star operator of g' on $\bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*$ and let

$$\bar\delta=\bar{*}_{g'}\circ\bar\partial\circ\bar{*}_{g'},\quad \Box_{g'}=\bar\partial\bar\delta+\bar\delta\bar\partial$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})=\{\omega\in\bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*\,|\,\Box_{g'}\omega=0\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *We consider the isomorphism* $\iota:\bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})^*\cong A^{*,*}$ *as in Lemma 3.2. Then we have*

$$\iota\circ\Box_{g'}=(\oplus\Box_{g\otimes h_\alpha})\circ\iota.$$

Proof. Let $\oplus\bar{*}_{g\otimes h_\alpha}$ be the Hodge star operator on $\bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}}A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta)$. It is sufficient to show

$$\iota\circ\bar{*}_{g'}=(\oplus\bar{*}_{g\otimes h_\alpha})\circ\iota.$$

For a multi-index $I=\{i_1,\dots,i_r\}$, we write $x_I=x_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge x_{i_r}$, $y_I=y_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge y_{i_r}$, $\alpha_I=\alpha_{i_1}\cdots\alpha_{i_r}$ and $\beta_I=\beta_{i_1}\cdots\beta_{i_r}$. For multi-indices $I,K\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$ and $J,L\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$, we have

$$\bar{*}_{g'}(x_I\wedge y_J\wedge\bar{x}_K\wedge\bar{y}_L)=\epsilon x_{I'}\wedge y_{J'}\wedge\bar{x}_{K'}\wedge\bar{y}_{L'}$$

where I', J', K' and L' are complements and ϵ is the sign of a permutation. We also have

$$\oplus\, \bar{*}_{g\otimes h_\alpha}(x_I \wedge \alpha_J^{-1} y_J \wedge \bar{x}_K \wedge \bar{\alpha}_L^{-1}\bar{y}_L \otimes \beta_J\gamma_L v_{\beta_J^{-1}\gamma_L^{-1}})$$
$$= \epsilon x_{I'} \wedge \alpha_{J'}^{-1} y_{J'} \wedge \bar{x}_{K'} \wedge \bar{\alpha}_{L'}^{-1}\bar{y}_{L'} \otimes \beta_J^{-1}\gamma_L^{-1} v_{\beta_J\gamma_L}.$$

Hence we only need to show

$$\beta_J^{-1}\gamma_L^{-1} = \beta_{J'}\gamma_{L'}.$$

Since a Lie group with a lattice is unimodular (see [11, Remark 1.9]), the action ϕ on $\mathfrak{n}$ is represented by unimodular matrices. Hence we have $\alpha_J\bar{\alpha}_L\alpha_{J'}\bar{\alpha}_{L'} = 1$. This implies $\beta_J^{-1}\gamma_L^{-1} = \beta_{J'}\gamma_{L'}$. Hence the lemma follows. □

Corollary 3.4. *The inclusion*

$$\Phi : A^{*,*} \to \bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$$

induces an injection

$$H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n}) \cong H^{p,q}(A^{*,*}) \to H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(\bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)).$$

Proof. We have isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta) \cong H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n}) \cong H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})$ (see [12]). By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\iota(\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n})) \subset \bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta).$$

Hence the corollary follows. □

4. Proof of the main theorem

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a Lie group as in Assumption 1.1. G/Γ is a holomorphic fiber bundle over a torus with a nilmanifold as a fiber,*

$$N/\Gamma'' \to G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma'$$

such that the structure group of this fibration is discrete.

Proof. Consider the covering $\mathbb{C}^n \times (N/\Gamma'') \to G/\Gamma$ such that the covering transformation is the action of Γ' on $\mathbb{C}^n \times (N/\Gamma'')$ given by $g\cdot(a,b) = (a+g, \phi(g)b)$. Hence we have the fiber bundle $G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma'$ with the fiber N/Γ'' and the discrete structure group $\phi(\Gamma') \subset \mathrm{Aut}(N)$. Since $\phi(g)$ is a holomorphic automorphism, this fiber bundle is holomorphic. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}$, by Borel's results in [7, Appendix 2], we have the spectral sequence (E_r, d_r) of the filtration of $A^{p,q}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$ induced by the holomorphic fiber bundle $p : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma'$ as in Proposition 4.1 such that:
(1)E_r is 4-graded, by the fiber-degree, the base-degree and the type. Let ${}^{p,q}E_r^{s,t}$ be the subspace of elements of E_r of type (p,q), fiber-degree s and base-degree t. We have ${}^{p,q}E_r^{s,t} = 0$ if $p+q = s+t$ or if one of p,q,s,t is negative.
(2) If $p+q = s+t$, then we have

$${}^{p,q}E_2^{s,t} \cong \sum_{i\geq 0} H^{i,i-s}_{\bar\partial}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma', L_\beta \otimes \mathbf{H}^{p-i,q-s+i}(N/\Gamma''))$$

where $\mathbf{H}^{p-i,q-s+i}(N/\Gamma'')$ is the holomorphic fiber bundle $\bigcup_{b\in\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma'} H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(p^{-1}(b))$.
(3)The spectral sequence converges to $H_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$.

By the assumption $H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{n}) \cong H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(N/\Gamma'')$, the fiber bundle $\mathbf{H}^{p-i,q-s+i}(N/\Gamma'')$ is the holomorphic vector bundle with the fiber $H^{p-i,q-s+i}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{n})$ induced by the action ϕ of Γ on $H^{p-i,q-s+i}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{n})$.

Since the action ϕ on $\mathfrak{n}$ is semi-simple, the action of $\mathbb{C}^n$ on $H_{\bar\partial}^{p-i,q-s+i}(\mathfrak{n})$ induced by ϕ is diagonalizable. The fiber bundle splits as $\mathbf{H}^{p-i,q-s+i}(N/\Gamma'') = \oplus L_{\delta_j}$ for some $L_{\delta_j} \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence we have

$$H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma', L_\beta \otimes \mathbf{H}^{p-i,q-s+i}(N/\Gamma'')) = H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma', \bigoplus_{\delta_j} L_\beta \otimes L_{\delta_j}).$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have $H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma', L_\beta \otimes L_{\delta_j}) \cong H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma')$ if $L_\beta \otimes L_{\delta_j}$ is trivial and $H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma', L_\beta \otimes L_{\delta_j})) = 0$ if $L_\beta \otimes L_{\delta_j}$ is non-trivial. Hence we have

$$H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma', \bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} L_\beta \otimes \mathbf{H}^{p-i,q-s+i}(N/\Gamma'')) \cong H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma') \otimes H_{\bar\partial}^{p-i,q-s+i}(\mathfrak{n}).$$

For the direct sum $\bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$, we consider this spectral sequence E_r. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{p,q}E_2^{s,t} &\cong \sum_{i\geq 0} H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma', \bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} L_\beta \otimes \mathbf{H}^{p-i,q-s+i}(N/\Gamma'')) \\ &\cong \sum_{i\geq 0} H_{\bar\partial}^{i,i-s}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma') \otimes H_{\bar\partial}^{p-i,q-s+i}(\mathfrak{n}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies an isomorphism $E_2 \cong \bigoplus_{p,q} H_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n})$. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.4, we have an injection

$$H_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}) \to H_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}(\bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta) \cong E_\infty.$$

Hence the spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 and the theorem follows. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $B^{*,*} \subset A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ be the subDBA of $A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ given by*

$$B^{p,q} = \left\langle x_I \wedge \alpha_J^{-1}\beta_J y_J \wedge \bar{x}_K \wedge \bar\alpha_L^{-1}\gamma_L \bar{y}_L \middle| \begin{array}{c} |I|+|K| = p,\ |J|+|L| = q \\ \text{the restriction of } \beta_J\gamma_L \text{ on } \Gamma \text{ is trivial} \end{array} \right\rangle .$$

Then the inclusion $B^{*,*} \subset A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ induces a cohomology isomorphism

$$H_{\bar\partial}^{*,*}(B^{*,*}) \cong H_{\bar\partial}^{*,*}(G/\Gamma).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\Phi(x_I \wedge \alpha_J^{-1} y_J \wedge \bar{x}_K \wedge \bar\alpha_L^{-1}\bar{y}_L \otimes \beta_J\gamma_L v_{\beta_J^{-1}\gamma_L^{-1}}) \in A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$$

if and only if the restriction of $\beta_J\gamma_L$ on Γ is trivial. Hence we have $\Phi^{-1}(A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)) = B^{*,*}$. □

Remark 3. Suppose $\phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{n}^{1,0})$ is a holomorphic map. Since each α_j is holomorphic, β_j is trivial. Hence we have $B^{p,0} = \bigwedge^{p,0} \mathfrak{g}^*$. Moreover if N is a complex Lie group, then $G = \mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N$ is also a complex Lie group and any element of $B^{1,0} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ is holomorphic and hence $\bar\partial B^{p,0} = 0$. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma) \cong \bigwedge^p \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \otimes H_{\bar\partial}^q(B^{0,q}).$$

Remark 4. We suppose the following condition:
($\star$) For multi-indices J, L, if the restriction of $\beta_J\gamma_L$ on Γ is trivial, then $\beta_J\gamma_L$ itself is trivial. Then we have $B^{*,*} \subset \bigwedge^{*,*} \mathfrak{g}^*$ and hence we have an isomorphism

$$H_{\bar\partial}^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong H_{\bar\partial}^{*,*}(G/\Gamma).$$

5. Examples

5.1. **Example 1.** Let $G=\mathbb{C}\ltimes_{\phi}\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\phi(x+\sqrt{-1}y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{x} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-x}\end{array}\right)$. Then for some $a\in\mathbb{R}$ the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{a} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-a}\end{array}\right)$ is conjugate to an element of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Hence for any $0\neq b\in\mathbb{R}$ we have a lattice $\Gamma=(a\mathbb{Z}+b\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z})\ltimes\Gamma''$ such that Γ'' is a lattice of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then for a coordinate $(z_1=x+\sqrt{-1}y,z_2,z_3)\in\mathbb{C}\ltimes_{\phi}\mathbb{C}^2$ we have

$$\bigwedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^{*}=\bigwedge^{p,q}\langle dz_1,\, e^{-x}dz_2, e^{x}dz_3\rangle\otimes\langle dz_1,\, e^{-x}d\bar{z}_2,\, e^{x}d\bar{z}_3\rangle.$$

Since we have $e^{x}\sim e^{-\sqrt{-1}y}$, the subDBA

$$A^{*,*}\subset\bigoplus_{L_\beta\in\mathcal{L}}A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma,L_\beta)$$

as in Definition 3.1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}A^{p,q}=\bigwedge^{p,q}&\langle dz_1,\, e^{-x}dz_2\otimes e^{-\sqrt{-1}y}v_{e^{\sqrt{-1}y}},\, e^{x}dz_3\otimes e^{\sqrt{-1}y}v_{e^{-\sqrt{-1}y}}\rangle\\ &\bigotimes\langle d\bar{z}_1,\, e^{-x}d\bar{z}_2\otimes e^{-\sqrt{-1}y}v_{e^{\sqrt{-1}y}},\, e^{x}d\bar{z}_3\otimes e^{\sqrt{-1}y}v_{e^{-\sqrt{-1}y}}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

$B^{p,q}\subset A^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)$ varies for a choice of $b\in\mathbb{R}$ as the following.
(A) If $b=2n\pi$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, then we have:

$$B^{p,q}=\bigwedge^{p,q}\langle dz_1,\, e^{-x-\sqrt{-1}y}dz_2, e^{x+\sqrt{-1}y}dz_3\rangle\otimes\langle d\bar{z}_1,\, e^{-x-\sqrt{-1}y}d\bar{z}_2, e^{x+\sqrt{-1}y}d\bar{z}_3\rangle.$$

(B) If $b=(2n-1)\pi$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, then we have:

$$B^{1,0}=\langle dz_1\rangle,\ B^{0,1}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\rangle,$$
$$B^{2,0}=\langle dz_2\wedge dz_3\rangle,\ B^{0,2}=\langle d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle,$$
$$B^{1,1}=\langle dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_1,\, e^{-2x-2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_2,\, e^{2x+2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\, dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\, dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\rangle,$$
$$B^{3,0}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}B^{2,1}=\langle dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1,\, &e^{-2x-2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_2,\\ &e^{2x+2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_1\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\, dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3, dz_1\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}B^{1,2}=\langle dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\, &e^{-2x-2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2,\\ &e^{2x+2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\, dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1,\, dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_1\rangle,\end{aligned}$$
$$B^{0,3}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle,$$
$$B^{3,1}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\rangle,\ B^{1,3}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}B^{2,2}=\langle &dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\\ &e^{-2x-2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2,\, e^{2x+2\sqrt{-1}y}dz_1\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\\ &dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3, dz_1\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\rangle,\end{aligned}$$
$$B^{3,2}=\langle dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle, B^{2,3}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle,$$
$$B^{3,3}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle.$$

(C) If $b\neq n\pi$ for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, then we have:

$$B^{1,0}=\langle dz_1\rangle,\ B^{0,1}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\rangle,$$
$$B^{2,0}=\langle dz_2\wedge dz_3\rangle,\ B^{0,2}=\langle d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle,$$
$$B^{1,1}=\langle dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_1, dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3, dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\rangle,$$
$$B^{3,0}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\rangle, B^{2,1}=\langle dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1,\, dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\, dz_1\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\rangle,$$

$$B^{1,2}=\langle dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3,\ dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1, dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_1\rangle, B^{0,3}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle,$$
$$B^{3,1}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\rangle, B^{1,3}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\rangle,$$
$$B^{2,2}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_3, dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3, dz_1\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\rangle,$$
$$B^{3,2}=\langle dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle, B^{2,3}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle,$$
$$B^{3,3}=\langle dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge dz_3\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle.$$

By Corollary 4.2, for each case we have an isomorphism $H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma)\cong B^{p,q}$. Moreover considering the left-invariant Hermitian metric $g=dz_1d\bar{z}_1+e^{-2x}dz_2d\bar{z}_2+e^{2x}dz_3d\bar{z}_3$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)\cong B^{p,q}$.

Remark 5. In the case (A), the Dolbeault cohomology $H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the Dolbeault cohomology of complex 3-torus. But G/Γ is not homeomorphic to a complex 3-torus. Moreover considering the metric g, the space of the harmonic forms does not satisfy Hodge symmetry (i.e. $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)\neq\mathcal{H}^{q,p}(G/\Gamma)$).

Remark 6. By Hattori's result in [6], we have an isomorphism $H^*(G/\Gamma)\cong H^*(\mathfrak{g})$ of the de Rham cohomology of G/Γ and the Lie algebra cohomology. Hence considering the space $\mathcal{H}^k_d(\mathfrak{g})$ of left-invariant d-harmonic forms of the left-invariant Hermitian metric g, we have $\mathcal{H}^k_d(\mathfrak{g})\cong\mathcal{H}^k_d(G/\Gamma)$. By simple computations, in the case (C) we have the Hodge decomposition $\mathcal{H}^k_d(G/\Gamma)=\bigoplus_{p+q=k}\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)$. Hence G/Γ has cohomological properties (for example the Frölicher spectral sequence degenerates at E_1) of compact Kähler manifolds. But by Arapura's result (solving Benson-Gordon's conjecture) in [1], G/Γ admits no Kähler structure.

Remark 7. In the case (C), an isomorphism $H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{g})\cong H^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma)$ holds. But in the other cases, this isomorphism does not hold.

5.2. **Example 2.** Let $G=\mathbb{C}\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\phi(x+\sqrt{-1}y)=\begin{pmatrix} e^{x+\sqrt{-1}y} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-x-\sqrt{-1}y}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $a+\sqrt{-1}b, c+\sqrt{-1}d\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}(a+\sqrt{-1}b)+\mathbb{Z}(c+\sqrt{-1}d)$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\phi(a+\sqrt{-1}b)$ and $\phi(c+\sqrt{-1}d)$ are conjugate to elements of $SL(4,\mathbb{Z})$ where we regard $SL(2,\mathbb{C})\subset SL(4,\mathbb{R})$ (see [5]). Hence we have a lattice $\Gamma=(\mathbb{Z}(a+\sqrt{-1}b)+\mathbb{Z}(c+\sqrt{-1}d))\ltimes_\phi\Gamma''$ such that Γ'' is a lattice of $\mathbb{C}^2$. For a coordinate $(z_1,z_2,z_3)\in\mathbb{C}\ltimes\mathbb{C}^2$, we have

$$\bigwedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^*=\bigwedge^{p,q}\langle dz_1, e^{-z_1}dz_2, e^{z_1}dz_3\rangle\otimes\langle d\bar{z}_1, e^{-\bar{z}_1}d\bar{z}_2, e^{\bar{z}_1}d\bar{z}_3\rangle.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&A^{p,q}\\ &=\bigwedge^{p,q}\langle dz_1, e^{-z_1}dz_2, e^{z_1}dz_3\rangle\bigotimes\langle d\bar{z}_1, e^{-\bar{z}_1}d\bar{z}_2\otimes e^{-2\sqrt{-1}y_1}v_{e^{2\sqrt{-1}y_1}}, e^{\bar{z}_1}d\bar{z}_3\otimes e^{2\sqrt{-1}y_1}v_{e^{-2\sqrt{-1}y_1}}\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for $z_1=x_1+\sqrt{-1}y_1$.

If $b,d\in\pi\mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)\cong B^{p,q}=\bigwedge^{p,q}\langle dz_1, e^{-z_1}dz_2, e^{z_1}dz_3\rangle\otimes\langle d\bar{z}_1, e^{-z_1}d\bar{z}_2, e^{z_1}d\bar{z}_3\rangle.$$

If $b\notin\pi\mathbb{Z}$ or $c\notin\pi\mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$B^{0,1}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\rangle,\ B^{0,2}=\langle d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle,\ B^{0,3}=\langle d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\wedge d\bar{z}_3\rangle$$

and

$$H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)\cong B^{p,q}=\bigwedge^{p}\langle dz_1, e^{-z_1}dz_2, e^{z_1}dz_3\rangle\otimes B^{0,q}.$$

Acknowledgements.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Toshitake Kohno for helpful suggestions and stimulating discussions. He would also like to thank Takumi Yamada for helpful comments. This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

References

[1] D. Arapura, Kähler solvmanifolds, Int. Math. Res. Not. **3** (2004), 131–137.

[2] S. Console, A. Fino, Dolbeault cohomology of compact nilmanifolds. Transform. Groups **6** (2001), no. 2, 111–124.

[3] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray, L. Ugarte, Compact nilmanifolds with nilpotent complex structures: Dolbeault cohomology. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 12, 5405–5433.

[4] W. M. Goldman, J. J. Millson, The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **67** (1988), 43–96.

[5] K. Hasegawa, Small deformations and non-left-invariant complex structures on six-dimensional compact solvmanifolds. Differential Geom. Appl. **28** (2010), no. 2, 220–227.

[6] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **8** 1960 289–331 (1960).

[7] F. Hirzebruch, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, third enlarged ed., Springer-Verlag, 1966.

[8] K. Nomizu, On the cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups. Ann. of Math. (2) **59**, (1954). 531–538.

[9] J. Neisendorfer, L. Taylor, Dolbeault homotopy theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978), 183–210.

[10] A. Polishchuk, Abelian Varieties, Theta Functions and the Fourier Transform. Cambridge University Press 2002.

[11] M. S. Raghunathan, Discrete subgroups of Lie Groups, Springer-verlag, New York, 1972.

[12] S. Rollenske, Lie-algebra Dolbeault-cohomology and small deformations of nilmanifolds. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **79** (2009), no. 2, 346–362.

[13] Y. Sakane, On compact complex parallelisable solvmanifolds. Osaka J. Math. **13** (1976), no. 1, 187–212.

(H.kasuya) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO JAPAN
E-mail address: `khsc@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`